DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE SECURITY COMMITTEE COMPUTER SECURITY SUBCOMMITTEE

17 Aug 1983 DCISEC-CSS-M156

1. The One Hundred and Fifty-Sixth meeting of the Computer Security Subcommittee was held on 19 July 1983 at McLean, VA. The following persons were in attendance:

Chairman
, Executive Secretary

CTA

NSA

Mr. Lynn Culkowski, Air Force
Mr. David Schenken, U.S. Secret Service
Mr. Ralph Neeper, Army
Mr. Carl Martz, Navy
Mr. Robert Graytock, Dept. of Justice
Mr. Lynn McNulty, Dept. of State
Mr. Gene Epperly, OSD

SECOM staff
, SECOM staff
, CIA (observer)

- 2. There were no comments on the minutes of the previous meeting, and they were accepted as written.
- 3. The Chairman reviewed the status of the SECOM budget revision; the fy 85 budget has been cut by \$1M. This is reflected at the Subcommittee level as follows:

Base	Requested	Revised
Emergency Sanitization	100k	80k
Hardware Monitoring	1.20k	100k
Secure Communications Processor	180k	150k
Tamper Proof Technology	50k	50k

4. There was a brief discussion of the report of the Interagency Group for Countermeasures (IG/CM). The Chairman reviewed the comments on the report, specifically those which point out the existence of the Subcommittee and its responsibility for Computer Security.

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

5. The Executive Secretary recapped the agreements reached at the
previous meeting with regard to the coordination of the draft DCID 1/16.
Each member will be receiving a copy of the current draft with a note
summarizing the major thrust of the working level coordination (a more
complete discussion is contained in the minutes of the previous meeting,
(M155). It was agreed that the Subcommittee would be prepared to submit a
completed draft to the SECOM (for their further coordination at the
Agency/Department level) by November 1983. Therefore, it was recognized
that the comments collected by each member during his in-house
coordination/evaluation exercise should be submitted in writing by the
September meeting, to facilitate the drafting of the version to be
submitted to SECOM.
6. There was considerable discussion of the status of the effort being
headed by Mr. Epperly (the Chairman of the Policy Group
under that effort) reviewed some of the evolution of the study and, in
particular, his portion of it. He admitted that there was some vagueness
regarding the separation/interface between the policy and the standards
groups. During the discussions of the effort in general and its genesis,
emphasized that the current visibility and interest computer
security is receiving at very high levels should be viewed as an
unparalleled opportunity (which, of course, demands intelligent and
vigorous action) for the community to have some of its greater problems
resolved (e.g., obtaining sufficient funding).
T 1 .1 . 1.11
It was noted that although the tasking on the effort focuses
explicitly on Intelligence issues, the Subcommittee is not represented as
such on the policy group. Since the Subcommitte, through the SECOM, is
chartered specifically to address computer security policy, the question
which was raised was whether or not the Subcommittee should be represented
as such on the policy group. The opinions varied from "don't worry about
it" to "raise the issue and argue it out, if necessary". It was agreed that
the proper course of action is to allow the SECOM the opportunity to
determine what action, if any, should be taken. Accordingly, the Chairman
will prepare a letter to the Chairman, SECOM presenting the issues and
defining some alternative courses of action, leaving the final
determination of the appropriate course of action to the SECOM.
7. The next meeting was scheduled for 0930 on Tuesday, 20 Sept. at the
McLean VA.
11020011 1111

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

Executive Secretary